

**TIPPECANOE COUNTY STEERING COMMITTEE
NPDES Phase II SWQMP**

SUMMARY OF STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING

DATE: September 1, 2003
TO: Project File
COPY: Steering Committee Members/ CBBEL
FROM: Siavash E. Beik, P.E., CBBEL
SUBJECT: Summary of Steering Committee Meeting
Tippecanoe County Building
July 17, 2003 10:00 a.m. – 11:00 a.m.

ATTENDEES

Steering Committee

David Downey -	City of West Lafayette
Sallie Fahey -	Area Plan Commission
Brian Keene	Builders Association
Jim Knapp -	Purdue University
Opal Kuhl -	City of Lafayette
Linda Eastman -	Tippecanoe County SWCD
Ken Larson -	Ivy Tech
Roger McClellan -	Pheasants Forever
Steve Murray -	Tippecanoe County
David Renicker -	Milestone
Mike Spencer -	City of Lafayette
Rachele Baker -	CBBEL
Siavash Beik-	CBBEL

Additional Attendees

Bob McCormick -	Purdue Planning with Power
Robin Mills Ridgeway -	Purdue Physical Facilities
Zach Beasley -	Tippecanoe County Surveyor's Office
Jamie Palmer -	Ctr for Urban Dev and the Env, IUPUI
Tom Willeford -	Tippecanoe County SWCD

MEETING AGENDA

- I. Review of Agenda
- II. Review of Minutes from May 29, 2003 Meeting
- III. Discussion on Chapter 7 of Part C
- IV. Funding Update
- V. Preparation for Next Meeting

**TIPPECANOE COUNTY STEERING COMMITTEE
NPDES Phase II SWQMP**

MEETING MINUTES

1. Steve Murray began the meeting by introducing Zach Beasley, a new employee in the County Surveyor's Office who will be involved in Phase II.
2. Rachele asked if there were any comments on the minutes from the last meeting and there were none.
3. Steve gave an update on the other regulated communities within the county. Estimated costs for Battle Ground and Dayton to join the "team" were delivered 2 to 3 weeks prior to the steering committee meeting and they look as though they will join. Shadeland still feels they will be exempted.
4. The group then began reviewing Chapter 7 of Part C. Siavash gave a brief overview of the chapter, noting that it is an attempt to estimate the cost to implement the plan as laid out in Chapters 1 through 6. The numbers shown do not take into consideration existing budgets or existing programs.
5. Sallie opened the discussion with a general comment on the chapter as a whole, pointing out that the numbers in the narrative do not agree with the numbers in the table. She also felt that the organization of the chapter was confusing. Most everyone agreed and the decision was made to reorganize the chapter to follow the chronological progression of plan development with Plan Development cost first and Implementation costs last.
6. Sallie felt the Introduction paragraph (Section 7.1) should somewhere state that the numbers in this chapter do not include "in-kind" contributions by volunteers. She also h
7. In Section 7.2, someone noted that the reference to four permit co-applicants in the last sentence should not include a number since we don't know if others will join.
8. In section 7.2.1, Sallie pointed out that the cost for the fourth and fifth years should be listed separately to avoid confusion. She also requested that manhours be changed to staff hours.
9. There were no comments on Sections 7.2.2 through 7.2.5 except to list costs for each year rather than grouping when costs are the same.
10. Brian Keene expressed concern about the cost for MCM 6. He wondered why it was so high and was worried that money would be generated by charging developers. Rachele noted that those costs will be divided between the county,



TIPPECANOE COUNTY STEERING COMMITTEE
NPDES Phase II SWQMP

the cities, and Purdue. Steve Murray noted that we haven't figured out how any of the program elements will be funded. Jamie Palmer of IUPUI will be investigating possibilities.

11. There were no other comments until Section 7.3.6 where Steve Murray asked that the cost reported in that Section be adjusted for 5 years of inflation.
12. Sallie noted that Table 8 should specify that it is by permit year not calendar year or fiscal year.
13. Siavash pointed out that Section 7.5 contains two blanks that will be filled in based on Jamie Palmer's findings.

Question: Roger McClellan asked if we would know those numbers by October.
Answer: Steve said we would have a good handle on them by that time.
14. Brian Keene asked if the public hearing would be held before the Permit submittal in November 2003. Steve stated that the hearing would not be held until closer to the time when Part C is submitted.
15. Ken asked if the final decision had been made regarding permitting options; whether entities would be co-permitting or independently permitting. Steve replied that this issue would be worked out in the next few months.
16. Jamie Palmer then gave a presentation illustrating the approach she would use to identify existing funding and evaluate potential additional funding sources. She detailed the steering committee's role in helping evaluate preferred funding mechanisms.
17. Bob McCormick then gave a short summary of the Purdue Planning with Power program. The program involves public education administered statewide by Purdue Extension offices in partnership with IDNR and SWCD.
18. Linda Eastman shared some public education material that IDEM has begun distributing regarding water quality.
19. Siavash stated that the Steering Committee would be meeting with Jamie Palmer in August and the next regular Steering Committee meeting would be Sept. 25th.

NEXT MEETING:

Thursday, September 25, 2003



**TIPPECANOE COUNTY STEERING COMMITTEE
NPDES Phase II SWQMP**

County Building
10:00 am – 12:00 pm

file: Minutes07-17-03

